

1.1 INTRODUCTION

NOTE: Apex has developed a MCU program with a soft start algorithm for driving motors with the APEX SA305. Download it free from the SA305 product page at www.apexmicrotech.com.

When comparing the attributes of a brushless motor with those of its brush motor equivalent, the most notable difference is the reduction in size and weight for the brushless motor offering the same horsepower. What is less obvious is the absence of the familiar brush-commutator arrangement that has been at the heart of single-phase DC brush motors for over a century. The lack of a brush-commutator interface means brushless motors also exhibit lower acoustic noise, are virtually maintenance free and they simply last longer.

Despite their many advantages, price points for brushless motors have been the single greatest detractor from their gaining wide-spread acceptance. That is until recently. Like all new technology, it was only a matter of time until gradual price reductions reached a level where demand would begin to increase. Today the price differential between brushless versus brush can be as little as 10 percent.

Adding to the appeal of the brushless motor is the widening availability of microcontrollers with the special functions (routines) necessary to control the three-phase operation of brushless motors. Equally important, and more readily available, are IC drivers that deliver power to the motor and form the interface between the microcontroller and the brushless motor itself.

This application note is intended to help guide the development of brushless motor drive boxes and motor drive cards. These specialized designs include the microcontroller, the programmability and the driver – all in a single assembly.

Let's begin this discussion by looking at the primary difference between motors with brushes and those without – the use of commutation. *Commutation* refers to an on-going sequence of steps that specifies the application of voltages to the proper motor phases and imparts the desired motor rotation throughout each successive 360-degree revolution.

Brush-type DC motors use electromechanical commutation that is achieved via graphite brushes that contact a circular commutator mounted on the rotor. The motor is designed so that torque is maximized as the motor shaft rotates throughout each full 360-degree rotation. However, in a brushless motor, although there is sensorless commutation, it is usually performed by switching electronics that rely on devices such as Hall sensors. This arrangement is depicted in Figures 1 and 2. The Hall sensors feed back the position of the rotor at known instants to the control electronics.

Brushless motors use what is commonly referred to as "inside out" commutation because their design is essentially that of a brush motor turned inside out. Although the stators in the motor are wound, the rotor is not. Instead it employs a permanent magnet rotor. The magnetic attraction of the rotor to the revolving magnetic field is induced in the wound stator poles and develops the torque necessary to rotate the motor and drive the attached load. This scenario is depicted in Figure 1.

Also brushless motors are synchronous in behavior because the rotor rotates at the same frequency as the magnetic field developed by the stator windings. Thus they differ from single-phase, AC squirrel-cage motors in which 'slip' develops, causing the rotor to rotate at a slightly lower frequency than the rotating AC field, depending on the load.




Figure 1. A Brushless Motor – Differs from a motor with brushes in that commutation is provided by sensors rather than the familiar brush-commutator arrangement found in a conventional DC motor with brushes.




Figure 2. Block Diagram – A microcontroller and an Apex Microtechnology SA305 Brushless Motor Driver provide the necessary functions to drive a brushless motor.

Thanks to the Hall sensors, the control circuitry always knows the exact moment to commutate. Most brushless motor manufacturers supply motors with three Hall-effect position sensors. Each sensor delivers an alternating binary high and a binary low as the rotor turns. The three sensors are offset, as illustrated in Figure 1. Each sensor aligns with one of the fields developed by one of the wound stator poles. Note that as depicted in Figure 3, two windings are always energized while one winding is not.

1.2 A BRIEF OVERVIEW OF PWM

The first Pulse Width Modulation (PWM) ICs appeared on the market some 40 years ago, so the concept of switching electronics is at least as old. Though the earliest applications were in switching power supplies, it was not much later that the technique was employed in brushless motors.

The principal benefit of PWM as a control technique be-

comes clear by examining Figure 4. The traditional linear power delivery technique for limiting power simply employs a variable resistance as depicted in Figure 4a. When maximum output is commanded, the driver reduces resistance of the pass element to a minimum. At this output level, losses in the linear circuit are relatively low. When zero output is commanded, the pass element resistance again approaches infinity and losses again approach zero.

The disadvantage of the linear circuit becomes clear in the midrange when the output level is in the vicinity of 50%. At these levels the resistance of the pass element is equal to the load resistance which means the heat generated in the amplifier is equal to the power delivered to the load! In other words, a linear control circuit exhibits a worst case efficiency of 50% when driving resistive loads at midrange power levels. What's more, when the load is reactive, this efficiency drops even further.




Figure 3. Six Connections – By monitoring the Hall sensors, the stator winding fields can be made to rotate so that the resultant field of the two energized stator windings and the pole of the permanent magnet rotor remain at right angles, thereby maximizing the instantaneous torque.




Figure 4. PWM versus Linear Control – PWM control in (b) exhibits far lower losses than the traditional linear control technique in (a).

Now consider the efficiencies of the switching PWM operation as illustrated in Figure 4b. In a PWM control system, an analog input level is converted into a variable-duty-cycle switch drive signal, as depicted in the figure. The process of switching from one electrical state to another, which in this case is simply between OFF and ON, is called “modulation” – thus the phrase *Pulse Width Modulation* or PWM.

Beginning at zero duty cycle, which is to say OFF all the time, the duty cycle is often advanced as the motor begins to rotate until it is running at the speed and/or the torque required by the application.

In the case of a PWM control circuit, the losses are primarily due to the ON resistance of the switching FET and the flyback diode which is why efficiencies as high as 80% to 95% are routine. However, at high switching frequencies, the energy required to turn the FETs on and off can become significant.

In addition to enhanced efficiency, PWM can provide additional benefits which include limiting the start-up current, controlling speed and controlling torque. The optimum switching frequency will depend on inertia and inductance of the brushless motor chosen, as well as the application.




Figure 5. a) Current behavior with a steady-state excitation
b) Current behavior with PWM excitation

The choice of switching frequency affects both the losses and the magnitude of the ripple current. A good rule of thumb is that in general, raising the switching frequency increases the PWM losses. On the other hand, lowering the switching frequency limits the bandwidth of the system and can raise the heights of the ripple current pulses to the point they become destructive or shut down the brushless motor driver IC. The ripple current pulses are depicted in Figure 5 and discussed in more detail later.

1.3 INTEGRATED DRIVERS

Until the introduction of off-the-shelf brushless motor drivers, such as the Apex Microtechnology SA305, the development of three-phase driver circuits had to be configured discretely using three gate drivers and six FETs. Devices like the SA305 provide an integrated, fully-protected, three-phase brushless motor IC solution capable of delivering power levels of up to 300 watts¹. The SA305 is fabricated using a multi-technology process that employs CMOS logic control together with DMOS output power devices. This brushless motor driver includes protection features such as overcurrent, short-circuit and thermal shutdown. For instance, the SA305 will shut down if the instantaneous current reaches approximately 12 amperes.

Operation of the SA305 is shown in Figure 2. The device features three independent, DMOS FET half bridges that provide up to 10 amperes PEAK output current under microcontroller or DSP control. As the motor rotor revolves in operation, the controller causes one motor terminal to be driven high, a second low and the third to float, as depicted in Figure 3. Proper synchronization of this sequence is assured by the feedback from the Hall sensors which keeps the microcontroller informed of the position of the rotor with regard to the stator windings at known instants.

“Shoot through” protection is included in this brushless motor driver IC. Shoot through identifies the state in which both the upper and lower portions of two half bridges are on at the same time. This must be avoided, for if it were to occur, it would overload the circuit and destroy the FETs. Consequently, a “dead time” is programmed to allow the FETs to fully commutate to the next state before power is applied to the ON FETs.

Fault status indication and current level monitors are provided directly to the SA305 controller. Output currents are measured using an innovative low-loss technique. The SA305 also offers superior thermal performance with a flexible footprint.

All brushless motors are driven by microcontrollers or some other intelligent system. A number of manufacturers including Analog Devices, Freescale, Microchip, and Texas Instruments market microcontrollers for motion control – and more specifically for driving brushless motors. For example, the Microchip PIC18F2331 includes a 14-bit resolution power control PWM module with programmable dead-time insertion to prevent shoot through. A brushless motor control algorithm employing this IC appears in Figure 6.

Although there are a number of sources which you can turn to for assistance in choosing a motor, brushless or otherwise, a good starting point is shared in Reference 2.

As the author points out, choosing a motor requires looking at a whole list of issues including efficiency, torque, power reliability, and cost. (We also add motor inductance to this check list. As we shall examine shortly, it plays a vital role in how the motor will perform.)

What can be said categorically is that a brushless permanent magnet motor is the highest performing motor in terms of torque versus efficiency. Brushless motors allow you to consider a wide variety of performance options in designing your application. As we have explained prior, with a brushless motor you have control of all three stator windings, which is not the case with a traditional DC motor and its brush commutation. If your decision is to follow the brushless route, some important guidelines are outlined below.

2.1 BRUSHLESS MOTOR BEHAVIOR — AN OVERVIEW

One of the most critical operations for a brushless motor — also true for a brush motor — is when power is first applied to the motor while at rest. At that time the rotor is stationary and is delivering no “back EMF” or V_{BEMF} . V_{BEMF} can be expressed as:

$$V_{BEMF} = (K_b)(\text{Speed}) \quad (1)$$

Where:

K_b = voltage constant (volts/1000 RPM)

Speed = revolutions per minute (expressed in thousands)

Once a voltage is applied to the motor, the rotor begins turning, generating a V_{BEMF} governed by Equation (1).

If we ignore for the moment that we plan to drive the motor with a PWM source and assume the motor is driven by a steady-state voltage, then we can express the current by this equation:

$$I = [(V - V_{BEMF})/R_m][1 - e^{-Rt/L_m}] \quad (2)$$

Where:

V = the applied voltage

V_{BEMF} = back EMF

R_m = stator resistance (winding pair)

L_m = stator inductance (winding pair)

Note that in Equation (2) the current (I) at any moment is a function of both the back EMF (V_{BEMF}) and the time (t). The current when the motor is stopped ($V_{BEMF} = 0$) is illustrated in Figure 5a and is a familiar waveform for characterizing the current in any L-R circuit with its rise time governed by the time constant L/R .

Now let's exchange the steady-state excitation voltage for a PWM source, as shown in Figure 5b. The current rises until the first ON pulse ends. When the voltage abruptly falls to zero at the end of the first applied voltage pulse, the current begins to decay towards zero. However, the next pulse will again drive the current upwards, and so forth, so that the current continues to rise. As the motor accelerates, the current waveform will exhibit a sawtooth profile. This sawtooth characteristic is also known as ripple. Because torque is directly proportional to current, the sequence of rising current pulses drives the motor and develops a corresponding torque that accelerates the motor.




Figure 6. Brushless Motor Microcontroller Algorithm

The applied voltage, the switching frequency and the PWM duty cycle are three crucial parameters that can be programmed independently. How these variables are selected will effect the behavior of the motor with regard to how fast it will accelerate, and how fast its speed and torque will develop.

2.2 A SPECIFIC EXAMPLE

This example begins by choosing a motor that will exhibit the mechanical performance required — which is to say the torque, the efficiency and the other specifications already discussed, are sufficient to meet the motor drive requirements of the end system. For this example a low-inertia, brushless motor will be used that delivers 55 oz-in of torque at 5000 rpm. The selected motor is a Galil Motion Control BLM-N23-50-1000-B (www.galilmc.com). The winding characteristics of this motor include a stator-winding pair that exhibits a resistance (R_m) of 1.2 ohms and an inductance (L_m) of 2.6 millihenries. The torque constant (K_t) of the motor is 12.1 oz-in/A and the voltage constant K_b of the motor is 8.9 volts/1000 RPM.

First make certain that the maximum current capability of the IC driver – in this case the SA305 with an output of 12 amperes -- is not reached. Should this happen, the drive circuit will shut down.

If $V/R \leq 12$ amperes, then regardless of the other parameters, the current can never reach this value. This can be seen in figures 5a and 5b where both the first and all succeeding pulses approach the value of V/R . Another way of looking at it is the current in any L-R circuit can never exceed V/R . Consequently, voltage which includes any instantaneous ripple will never shut down the SA305.

If $V/R > 12$ amperes, then consideration must be given to several factors in the design. In this example, the R_m is 1.2 ohms. Assuming a 60 volt drive voltage, then $V/R = 60/1.2 = 50$ amperes. When the initial voltage is applied to the motor, the current ramps up as explained in equation (2). As the back EMF builds up, the current will taper off as shown in

Figure 7. Maximum current may never be reached in normal operation because of the back EMF. The torque constant of the motor and the inertial load will govern the rate at which the motor comes up to speed. If the motor has a particularly low L/R time constant relative to the mechanical time constant, the current can reach the maximum well before the motor builds any back EMF.

Note that in this example the simulation depicted in Figure 7 discloses that the current will never rise above 8 amperes — well below the 12 amperes limit. If the current were to exceed the limit of the driver, adding external series resistance or inductance would limit the peak current and di/dt respectively, but each will adversely effect the performance of the system. If the start-up current is controlled with a PWM drive by limiting the duty cycle of each pulse and not to exceed the maximum peak current rating of the driver, the motor can safely accelerate. The current monitor feature of the SA305 makes this type of feedback relatively simple to implement.

By employing a microcontroller and monitoring the instantaneous currents in all three phases, a closed-loop algorithm can be developed for start-up purposes which would hold the peak current near 12 amperes without actually exceeding it. A small amount of headroom makes good sense so programming for an 11 ampere motor current would be best. The advantage of this approach is that it optimizes the run up and keeps the current and the acceleration as high as possible. In this approach the duty cycle would be modulated, based upon the current sensed in the three phases, as depicted in Figure 2. Further discussion on the use of microcontrollers to drive brushless motors is available in References 3, 4, and 5.

A “soft-start” algorithm can be developed as an open loop software solution to maintain a low duty cycle, as well as slowly up the required speed. Programming the start-up duty also ensures that the overcurrent limit of the SA305 is not exceeded. A soft-start algorithm is covered in Section 3 of this Application Note.




Figure 7. A graphical approach to determining maximum motor current – here the dynamic simulation of the Galil BLM-N23-50-1000-B brushless motor is illustrated.

3.1 GUIDELINES FOR DESIGNING A MOTOR DRIVE CONTROL PROTOTYPE

The use of the Apex DB62 Demonstration Board is recommended as the first step in assembling a prototype. The DB62 is designed to demonstrate the capabilities of the SA305 as a three phase brushless DC motor driver IC.

The PWM inputs to the SA305 are controlled by an on-board microcontroller. The supporting document is the DB62U data sheet, "Demonstration Board for SA305EX". The EVAL49 board which is described in DB62 is pre-wired for all required and recommended external components. The Microchip PIC18F2332 which has been selected to control the SA305 is pre-programmed for motor control applications. It is covered in Reference 6.

By utilizing the on-board Microchip PIC18F2332 microcontroller with the SA305, PWM input control is achieved. The resulting circuit of the DB62 can be easily converted into the circuit shown in Figure 9 and serve as the base circuit for a production version. The sequence table for commutation (obtained from the motor data sheet) is entered in the program memory of the microcontroller.

Hall Sensors – Hall sensors A, B and C are connected to the IC pins of the Input Capture (IC) module in the PIC18F2332. This module interrupts on every transition on any of the IC pins. Upon interrupt, the interrupt service routine reads all three inputs and loads the correct sequence for commutation.

Soft Start Algorithm – The use of a soft start algorithm enables controlling the rate of acceleration and consequently the maximum current. As depicted in Figure 8, there are two parameters to be programmed: the increment and the delay. The delay is the time interval between the successive incremental changes in the duty cycle. Whereas the increment is the percent increase in duty cycle which is implemented at the end of each delay.

After each increase in duty cycle that is slightly higher than the last one, there is a delay, or time interval, until the next incremental change occurs. The effect of the soft start is to ramp up the motor speed without exceeding the maximum allowable current until the specified speed is reached. This also serves to hold the speed until the motor is shut off.

NOTE: Apex has developed a MCU program with a soft start algorithm for driving motors with the SA305. Download it free from the SA305 product page at www.apexmicrotech.com.

Handling Reversals – When the motor is to be reversed, it is essential that the soft start algorithm is once again initiated, causing the motor to gradually accelerate in the opposite direction. Likewise, during development, it is essential to reduce the duty cycle, holding the duty-cycle start-up current before attempting to rotate the motor in the opposite direction. Once the motor begins to rotate in the opposite direction, and the back EMF begins to build up, the duty cycle may be increased

3.2 PARAMETERS APPLIED TO THE MICROCONTROLLER

Here are parameters to address as they apply to the operation of the microcontroller:

- V_{FREQ} - The PWM frequency can be varied from 4 KHz to 118 KHz by varying the voltage applied between V_{FREQ} and microcontroller ground.

- V_{DUC} - The duty cycle can be varied from 0 % to 98 % by varying the applied voltage between V_{DD} and ground.
- Forward/Reverse - The Forward (High)/Reverse (Low) pin is provided to control the direction of the motor. Depending upon the direction, the corresponding Hall sensor commutation sequence shown in the DB62 document is used and the PWM signals are generated accordingly.
- Run/Stop - The Run(Low)/Stop(High) enables starting and stopping the motor by shifting the applied binary voltage between V_{DD} and ground.
- Monitoring Current – The SA305 data sheet specifies the ratio of the sensed current to output (motor) current for each of the three outputs.

3.3 SPECIFIC DESIGN TIPS

The following is a discussion of key design tips that will assist in prototype design:

Maximum Current – If the current exceeds 12 amperes, the SA305 will shutdown at start. Consequently, it is essential to determine the maximum current which the motor and the SA305 Drive IC will carry during the start up cycle. If the L and R are low, the current will ramp up quickly. The use of back EMF to counteract a high current will not be able to ramp up so quickly due to the inertia of the rotor. This is discussed in detail in Section 2.2 of this Application Note. The maximum start up current can be easily determined by using Cadence's PSpice simulator which has a built-in brushless motor simulation program. Also refer back to the soft start algorithm discussion in Section 3.1.

Three Separate Ground Planes – As discussed in detail in the DB62 document, noise immunity can be enhanced by three separate ground planes tooled in a multilayer board: DGND (microcontroller ground), SGND and PGND. The DGND ground is the most sensitive. The ground planes should be inter-connected by jumpers as depicted in Figure 9, so that no current flows between the three independent grounds. These jumpers are located in the center of the evaluation board at the right of the SA305.

Do NOT connect grounds at any other points. Make sure there is no direct connection between the P ground and the S ground. The connections must be made in a manner that keeps current from flowing through one ground plane to another via the jumpers.

Heat Sinking – Refer to the SA305U datasheet for maximum power dissipation and power derating specifications. Heat sinking requirements can be determined based upon the power calculations. If a heatsink is required, please refer to the Apex Microtechnology website for available options. References 7 and 8 provide information on power dissipation and thermal calculations. Incidentally, the DB62 demonstration board comes with an HS32 heatsink.

External Flyback Diodes – In high current applications it is recommended that external flyback diodes be connected as shown in Figure 9. This is because the internal body diodes cannot sustain high currents for long periods of time. Low voltage drop, high current Schottky diodes, such as International Rectifier's part number 50SQ100, are recommended.




Figure 8. Increment and Delay – Principal parameters in the slow start algorithm.

Bypass Capacitors – The 1- μ F ceramic capacitors supplied with the DB62 kit are for high frequency bypassing of the V_S and V_{DD} supplies (C1, C11, C4 and C7 on the EVAL49 schematic). Two additional 100- μ F bypass capacitors are provided with the kit for the V_S supplies (C2 and C12 on the EVAL49 schematic). Please refer to Application Note 30 for guidelines on power supply terminal bypassing.

Hall Sensor Filters – In order to make sure that the MCU is receiving a clean signal from the Hall sensors enclosed within the noisy motor environment, RC filters must be connected in each of the three Hall sensor leads, as depicted in Figure 8. A Schmitt trigger circuit can also be added to each leg to provide additional noise immunity.

Resistors R12 and R27, in conjunction with capacitor C13 and the comparable components of the other two Hall diodes, comprise low pass filters that can suppress the noise.

The values of these components will depend on the switching frequency of the PWM source and how fast the Hall sensors respond. The filter time constant should be much smaller than the rise times of the Hall sensors to make sure that the switching of the sensors is not affected. Please refer to Application Note 32.

Optional External RC Snubber Circuits – (for high switching noise scenarios) R13 and C5, R16 and C8, R19 and C10 in the EVAL49 schematic in DB62 can provide noise immunity — especially in high-load-current scenarios. With the DB62 Evaluation Kit a 4.7 nanofarad, 100 volt capacitor in series with a 50 ohm 5W resistor is provided for each OUT-A, OUT-B and OUT-C line, and they should be

connected in series between the SA305 outputs and P_{GND} . Depending on the PWM frequency and the resistances and inductance of the motor being driven, other values may be more effective.

The power dissipated in the snubber components can be estimated by:

$$P = V^2 C(F_{sw}) \quad (3)$$

Where:

- P = power dissipated
- V = V_S supply voltage
- C = Snubber capacitor value
- F_{sw} = Switching frequency

4.1 OPEN AND CLOSED LOOP OPTIONS

The motor may be operated in an open-loop configuration with a specified speed reference. As depicted in Figure 10a, a voltage can be applied to the V_{DUC} input of the PIC18F2331 microcontroller that is set to a value that will maintain the motor rotation at the required number of RPMs for the load it is driving – once the soft start algorithm has brought the rotor up to full speed. Though not shown in Figure 10a, the Hall sensors are still employed to make sure that the applied rotating magnetic field is always positioned so as to maximize the torque applied to the rotor of the brushless motor.

However, this open-loop method of speed control is not recommended. Instead, it is best that the motor be operated in a closed-loop configuration as depicted in Figure 10b, for reasons explained immediately below.




Figure 9. A specific example – Combining the SA305 brushless motor IC with a Microchip PIC18F2331 microcontroller






Figure 10. Open and Closed Loop Control Options

Closed-loop speed control is the preferred method for speed control because the microcontroller is actually measuring the true RPM based upon input from the Hall sensors and minimizing the error from the speed reference — using PID control in real time. As shown in Figure 10b, the designer needs to determine the voltage corresponding to the speed and apply it to the A/D pin 2 or 3. (Employing Hall sensors in closed-loop speed control configuration is also discussed on page 12 of Reference 4.)

Closed-loop torque control is depicted in Figure 10c. The outputs of the three current sense pins IMON_A, IMON_B and IMON_C of the SA305 Driver IC are added as voltages in an operational amplifier and then fed to one of the A/D inputs — pins 2 or 3 of the microcontroller. Note that the SA305 data sheet (Reference 2) supplies the information as to the current

sense outputs. It is important to note that the current sense ratios (I_{LOAD}/I_{SENSE}) are not the same for the three outputs. So it is important to scale each of them properly by selecting the appropriate summing resistors so as to obtain an output from the op amp that is a true representation of the instantaneous current flow to the brushless motor.

The two values within the microcontroller — one receiving the reference value of the torque and the other the measured value obtained from the SA305 current sense outputs — are compared and applied to a PID control algorithm. This serves to change the duty cycle of the PWM outputs as necessary, to maintain a constant brushless motor torque. (This is discussed in more detail on page 13 of Reference 4.) PIC controllers are discussed in the Appendix of this document.

5. APPENDIX

Proportional integral derivative controller – A Proportional-integral-derivative controller (PID controller) is a feedback loop technique employed in control systems. It can be thought of as an extreme form of a phase lead-lag compensator. A PID controller has one pole at the origin and the other at infinity⁹. It is used to compare a measured value with a reference value. As employed in motor control the values may be either speed or torque. The difference value is then employed to calculate a new value to restore the value – be it speed or torque to the setpoint value. A PID loop produces accurate, stable control in cases, whereas a simple proportional control would be likely to induce a steady-state error or would induce oscillation. Unlike more complicated control algorithms based on optimal control theory, PID controllers do not require advanced mathematics to develop a design.

A standard PID controller is also known as a "three-term" controller and can be expressed in the "parallel form" by Equation (4) or the "ideal form" by Equation (5):

$$G(s) = K_p + K_i \frac{1}{s} + K_d s \quad (4)$$

$$= K_p \left(1 + \frac{1}{T_i s} + T_d s \right) \quad (5)$$

Where:

K_p is the proportional gain

K_i the integral gain

K_d the derivative gain

T_i the integral time constant

T_d the derivative time constant.

- The proportional term provides an overall control response that is proportional to the error signal through the all-pass gain factor.
- The integral term reduces steady-state errors through low-frequency compensation by an integrator.
- The derivative term improves the transient response through high-frequency compensation by a differentiator.

The effects of each of these three terms on closed-loop performance are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. The Effects of Independent P, I and D Tuning

Closed-Loop Response	Rise Time	Overshoot	Settling Time	Steady-State Error	Stability
Increasing K_p	Decrease	Increase	Small Increase	Decrease	Degrade
Increasing K_i	Small Decrease	Increase	Increase	Large Decrease	Degrade
Increasing K_d	Small Decrease	Decrease	Decrease	Minor Change	Improve

6. REFERENCES

1. SA305 Pulse Width Modulation Amplifier Data Sheet, www.apexmicrotech.com
2. Motion Control Primer by David Palombo, www.aveox.com
3. Brushless DC Motor Fundamentals, AN885, www.microchip.com
4. Brushless DC Motor Control Using PIC18FXX31 MCUs, AN899, www.microchip.com
5. Sensored BLDC Motor Control Using dsPIC30F2010, AN957, www.microchip.com
6. DB62 Demonstration Board For SA305EX, www.apexmicrotech.com
7. Application Note 22, SOA (Safe Operating Area) and Load Lines, www.apexmicrotech.com
8. Application Note 11, Thermal Techniques, www.apexmicrotech.com
9. PID Control System Analysis, Design, and Technology, by Kiam Heong Ang, Gregory Chong and Yun Li, IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology, Vol. 13, No. 4, July 2005, pages 559 - 576

7. BIBLIOGRAPHY

- "Modeling, Simulation, and Analysis of Permanent-Magnet Motor Drives, Part II: The Brushless DC Motor Drive" by Pragasen and Ramu Krishnan, IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications, Vol. 25, No. 2, March/April 1989, pages 274-279
- "A New Simulation Model of BLDC Motor with Real Back EMF Waveform" by Y.S. Jeon, H.S. Mok, G.H. Choe, D.K. Kim, J.S. Ryu, 7th Workshop on Computers in Power Electronics, 2000; pages 217-220